

"We of the jury assess the plaintiff's damages to \$72.24 cents with interest thereon from the 20th of August 1818 but we further find that the defendant has fully administered the assets of the testator which came to his hands to be administered and also that there are debts of superior dignity to the plaintiff's demand." Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the damages aforesaid with the interest aforesaid in form aforesaid assessed and his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended And the said defendant in Mary J. To be levied of the goods and chattels of the said John Brickton dec^d which may hereafter come to the hands of the defendant to be administered after satisfying all debts of superior dignity to the plaintiff's demand.

William Bland Jun^r Guardian to the Orphans of John Shipp's dec^d who was summoned to give Counter Security for his Guardianship of said Orphans - failing to give such Security It is therefore ordered that the Guardianship aforesaid be taken from the said William Bland Jr. And the Court doth assign Hardy Harris Guardian to the said Orphans next James Benjamin, and Martha Shipp's whereupon the said Hardy Harris with John Parkman and Nathaniel Newsum his securities entered into and acknowledged a bond in the penalty of two thousand dollars conditioned as the law directs.

Nathl Harris & Elizabeth his wife late Baron Adm^r of Anne & Phips
of Henry Barron dec^d
against

Thomas Applewhite Ex^r of Hardy Applewhite dec^d.

Costs \$5.95.

This day came the parties by their Attornies and thereupon came a Jury to wit James Mellick, Lewis McNeill, John Barnett, Micajah Holliman, Matthew Robert, Benjamin Clements, David Jeffries, James Bell, William Blon, John Martin, Jordan Richardson and Hardy Harris who being elected true and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined returned a verdict in these words "We the Jury find for the plaintiff the debt in the declaration mentioned and assess his damages to one cent." Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of forty dollars the debt in the declaration mentioned and their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended To be levied of the goods and chattels of the said Hardy Applewhite at the time of his death in the hands of the said defendant to be administered if so much thereof he hath, if not, then the costs to be levied of his own proper goods and chattels. And the said defendants in Mary J. But this judgment is to be discharged by the payment of twenty dollars with legal interest thereon from the 11th day of January 1808 till paid and the costs.

Abert William Briggs Pres^t. Carr Barron. Gent^l.

William Singleton

against

William Briggs Adm^r of Edwin Davis dec^d

Costs \$20.58.

On the motion of the defendant by his Attorney who pleaded non assumpsit by his attestation to which the plaintiff by his Attorney replied generally the writ of Enquiry obtained against the defendant is set aside. and thereupon came a Jury to wit James Mellick, Lewis McNeill, John Barnett, Micajah Holliman, Matthew Robert, Benjamin Clements, David Jeffries, James Bell, William Blon, John Martin, Jordan Richardson & Hardy Harris who being elected true and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined, returned into Court a verdict in these words to wit "We the Jury find for the plaintiff and assess his damages to thirty two dollars and fifty cents with legal interest thereon from the 25th November 1819 till paid." Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the damages aforesaid with the interest aforesaid in form aforesaid assessed and his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended And the said defendant in Mary J. To be levied of the goods and chattels of the said Edwin Davis at the time of his death in the hands of the said defendant to be administered

if so much thereof he hath of goods and chattels

Abert William Co.

On the motion of Lewis County into whose hands the said bonds pay into the sum of eighteen dollars the said and also the costs of this

George Whitfield Ex^r

against

Condy Whitfield

Mary Turner (Et^{al})

against

Minerva Bogema

The plaintiffs to be dismissed

Laurence Ward

against

Davis Pierce Adm^r

On the motion of

Jeremiah Cook

against

Nicholas Flocke

This day came called came not, nor by his Attorney at his side his costs for

James Kello

against

Henry Briggs Adm^r

John Brughart Ex^r

against

The same

John Brughart

against

The same

For reasons appearing quarterly term

Joseph B. Whitfield

against

William J. M. Thorne

Costs \$7.32.

This day came Corwin, Nathaniel Parker, Alexander who being elected true in these words to wit one dollar and two Therefore it is considered damages aforesaid about his suit in this